

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	THE DIC DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		8737-000007	8304
09/529,653	06/26/2000	Yong Zou	8/3/-00000/	050.
,	7590 08/02/2002			
Harness Dick	7570	EXAMINER		
PO Box 828	cy & Ficien	WALLS, DIONNE A		
	ills, MI 48303			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731 DATE MAILED: 08/02/2002	, 14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•				<u> </u>			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/529,653		ZOU ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Dionne A. W		1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rei	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statically received by the Office later than three months after the main patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event reply within the statuto od will apply and will e	however, may a reply be tired in the street of the street	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{2}$	<u>5 June 2002</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	7	This action is n						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
	Claim(s) $\frac{4-18}{}$ is/are pending in the applicat							
4	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho	drawn from con	sideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				ļ			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-18</u> is/are rejected.								
, —	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
• •	on Papers				l			
, —	The specification is objected to by the Exam			-				
10)□ 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
•		Examiner.						
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		dor 25 11 S.C. & 110	(a)-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		a received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) o(s)	4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper I al Patent Application (I	No(s) PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/529,653

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6-25-02 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informality: In line 1, Applicant has claimed a "cigarette" when the claim from which it depends is drawn to a "cigar". The Examiner assumes that Applicant intends to claim "a cigar", and the claims have been examined based this assumption. If the Examiner's assumption is correct, it is requested that Applicant change "cigarette" to – cigar--. Otherwise, it is suggested that the claim be cancelled. Appropriate correction is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4 and 14-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 8266261.

Art Unit: 1731

JP 8266261 discloses a cigarette having a main body and a filter attached integrally to an end of the main body, said filter comprising dried and crushed ginkgo leaves (See English abstract). By providing a cigarette filter formed of ginkgo leaves, a cigarette comprising a burnable material is obviously provided because the "filter" is a part of the cigarette of JP 8266261, and gingko leaves are obviously "burnable", since it is a plant material and since almost any material is "burnable" if subjected to high enough temperatures. Therefore, gingko leaves as used in the filter of the cigarette of JP 8266261 qualifies as "burnable material". Further, since "gingko leaves" qualifies as such a burnable material, the step of smoking a cigarette comprising leaves of gingko biloba, as recited in claims 14-15, has also been satisfied.

5. Claims 4-5, 9-10, and 14-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CN 1140036 (Abstract).

CN 1140036 discloses a toxicity-reduced cigarette that contains liquid having components of ginkgo leaf sprayed onto the smokable material of the cigarette (see English abstract). While CN 1140036 (Abstract) may not state that the gingko leaves are burnable material or burn during smoking, it follows that the gingko leaves burn while smoking since said leaves are sprayed onto the material that is smoked/burned while the cigarette is in use.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, while CN 1140036 may not state that the smokable material of its invention may be suitable for use in a cigar, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the gingko-leaves-sprayed material for such purpose in order to reduce the damage of nicotine that also

Art Unit: 1731

would arise from smoking a cigar which, as is well-known, also comprises tobacco which would contain nicotine when smoked by a user.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carroll (US. Pat. No. 3,369,552) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art.

Vegetation (corresponding to the claimed "burnable material"), which is acceptable as the smokable material of cigarettes or cigars (see cols. 1-4). While Carroll may not specifically disclose gingko leaves as the burnable material for its tobacco substitute, it does disclose that the substitute of its invention can be formed from leafy non-tobacco plants. Further, Applicant admits that the gingko biloba tree is the oldest tree in the world (see instant specification page 2), and that the leaves of such trees have proven to have positive effects on health (page 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ gingko leaves as the base for the tobacco substitute of Carroll because the gingko tree is a non-tobacco plant, which has been known for centuries, and said plant has leaves, which contain components that are known to provide beneficial heath advantage — both of which are attributes consistent with the teaching of Carroll et al, the goal of which patent is to provided a product derived from non-tobacco, leafy plants.

Art Unit: 1731

Because there is no indication, in Carroll, that the "burnable material" would comprise anything other than one species of non-tobacco leafy vegetation (as opposed to a blend of several types of plant leaves), it follows that said material of Carroll modified by Applicant's Admitted Prior Art would be 100% ginkgo biloba leaves.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the Buchmann et al reference regarding the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the JP 8266261 reference have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner believes that the arguments with respect to the JP reference have been adequately addressed in the above rejection (see paragraphs 3-4). Further, it is noted that the feature upon which Applicant relies (i.e., "burning portion of the cigarette or cigar") is not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne A. Walls whose telephone number is (703) 305-0933. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM (Every other Friday off).

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P Griffin can be reached on (703) 308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Dionne A. Walls July 29, 2002